

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,639	12/04/2001		Brian Foulger	41577/261336 7129		
23370	7590	03/01/2004		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. PR			GURZO, PAUL M			
KILPATRIC	K STOCE	KTON, LLP				
1100 PEACH	TREE \$7	ΓREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 2800			2881			
ATLANTA,	GA 303	09				

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/889,639	FOULGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Gurzo	2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Experience. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 17-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 17-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/889,639

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bather (4,820,920).

Regarding claim 1, 920 teaches a method of detecting a dangerous substance in an article, such as an explosive. It is obvious that an explosive component will produce a heightened risk of the onset of fire because explosives, by definition, produce a heightened risk of the onset of fire. 920 further teaches sampling the atmosphere in the vicinity of the article using a spectrometer and detecting a change in the spectra that is characteristic of danger (overheating) (Abstract and col. 1, lines 24-38). 920 does not explicitly teach an ion mobility spectrometer, but it states that any suitable spectrometer can be used (col. 2, lines 43-45), and an ion mobility spectrometer acts to sample the atmosphere and detect changes in the spectrum in the same manner as 920. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an ion mobility spectrometer because the use of such a spectrometer is well documented in the detection of dangerous materials because of it's ability to perform spectral analysis.

Regarding claims 17-19, 920 teaches the generation of an alarm signal indicative of any dangerous material (col. 2, lines 9-11 and 46-52).

Application/Control Number: 09/889,639

Art Unit: 2881

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bather

(4,820,920) and further in view of Rosengaus (5,653,539).

Regarding claims 20-22, 920 does not explicitly teach that the component can be a

printed circuit board or a resistor, but 539 teaches that in the case of integrated circuits, accurate

temperature measurements are an important for detecting defects (col. 1, lines 26-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to detect a printed circuit board or a resistor because proper temperature measurements

are used to prevent abnormal heat dissipation through short circuits, breaks in the interconnects,

etc., and ion mobility spectrometers are known to have industrial application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2472. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PMG February 6, 2004

Page 3